Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary    Status of Application: Amendeded from Non-Final		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
All Participants:  (1) Brian L. Mutschler.  (2) James Wu.  (3)	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/885,319	STAN ET AL.	
All Participants:  (1) Brian L. Mutschier. (2) James Wu.  (4)		Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) Brian L. Mutschler. (2) James Wu. (4)  Date of Interview: 16 March 2004 Time: 1:30pm  Type of Interview:		Brian L. Mutschler	1753	
(2) James Wu. (4)  Date of Interview: 16 March 2004 Time: 1:30pm  Type of Interview:   Telephonic   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes   If Yes, provide a brief description:   No   If Yes, provide a brief description:   No   If Yes, provide a brief description:   No   If Yes, provide a brief description:   Part I.    Rejection(s) discussed:   //a    Prior art documents discussed:   //a    Prior art documents discussed:   //a    Part II.   SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:   See Continuation Sheet    Part III.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendeded from Non-Final</u>			
Type of Interview: 16 March 2004  Type of Interview:   Telephonic   Time: 1:30pm  Type of Interview:   Telephonic   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes   If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:   No   Point and Description   Point	(1) <u>Brian L. Mutschler</u> .	(3)		
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Te	(2) <u>James Wu</u> .	(4)		
Telephonic	Date of Interview: <u>16 March 2004</u>	Time: <u>1:30pm</u>		
Rejection(s) discussed:  n/a  Claims discussed:  44  Prior art documents discussed:  n/a  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	<ul> <li>☐ Telephonic</li> <li>☐ Video Conference</li> <li>☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)</li> <li>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No</li> </ul>			
Rejection(s) discussed:  n/a  Claims discussed:  44  Prior art documents discussed:  n/a  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.			
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Rejection(s) discussed:			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  ### Part III.  **The Part III.**  **The Part II				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
Part III.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ☐ Brian 1 Mathita 3/16/2004				
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  Bruan L Matalla 3/16/2004	Part III.			
Buan L Matulle 3/16/2004	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview			
Buant Matalle 3/16/2004				
Brian L Matrick 3/16/2004				
Brian L Mathelle 3/16/2004  (Applicant/Applica				
Brian L Mathelle 3/16/2004				
Brian L Matrickle 3/16/2004				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Wu was contacted to discuss minor changes in claim 44 to clarify a limitation. Specifically, the phrase "a part of all of the first diffusion region" was unclear. Mr. Wu agreed to change the phrase to "a part of the first diffusion region", which will be changed by an examiner's amendment.